UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/629,853	07/30/2003	Kiyoshi Kohiyama	1341.1157	6150
21171 STAAS & HAI	7590 04/30/200 SEY LLP	EXAMINER		
SUITE 700		PERUNGAVOOR, VENKATANARAY		
WASHINGTO	RK AVENUE, N.W. N, DC 20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2432	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/30/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Ap	plication No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
		10)/629,853	KOHIYAMA ET A	KOHIYAMA ET AL.			
		Ex	aminer	Art Unit				
		Ve	nkat Perungavoor	2432				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun r Reply	ication appears	s on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failur Any r	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M sions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comn period for reply is specified above, the maximum st e to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months a d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IAILING DATE of 37 CFR 1.136(a). nunication. atutory period will ap will, by statute, caus	OF THIS COMMUNIC In no event, however, may a reply and will expire SIX (6) MON be the application to become AB	CATION. Poply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this of the ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·			
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>02 April 2</i>	2009					
′=	•	<u>-</u>	ion is non-final.					
′=		/ 		ers prosecution as to th	e merits is			
٥/ا	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims	·	• •	,				
		ing in the annli	cation					
•	Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-24,26-41</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	ic withdrawii ii	om consideration.					
′—	Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-24,26-41</u> is/are reject	hed						
· ·	Claim(s) 1-4,0-24,20-41 Is/are reject	lea.						
-	Claim(s) are subject to restric	tion and/or ele	oction requirement					
0)[Claim(s) are subject to restrict	dion and/or ele	cuon requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9) 🗌 .	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.						
10) 🔲 .	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction is	s required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notice (3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Fination Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Too(s)/Mail Date	PTO-948)	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date formal Patent Application 				

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see pages 8-13, filed 4/2/2009, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-4, 6-24, and 26-41 under 35 USC § 102(e) as anticipated by US Patent 6487646 to Adams et al. have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of US Patent 5991399 to Gruanke et al.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as based on a disclosure which is not enabling. The claim mentions direct memory access being done without the operating system, but fails to disclose another processor handling the operations which is critical or essential to the practice of the invention, but not included in the claim(s) is not enabled by the disclosure. See *In re Mayhew*, 527 F.2d 1229, 188 USPQ 356 (CCPA 1976).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4, 6-24, and 26-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent 5991399 to Gruanke et al.(hereinafter Graunke).

Regarding Claim 1, Graunke discloses a hardware secure module having a tamper resistant module structure and storing information related to secure software see Fig. 2 item 52;

a memory that stores the secure software see Fig. 2 item 36;

a falsification checking(key module) unit that is loaded on the hardware secure module(trusted player), wherein the falsification checking unit reads the secure software from the memory by direct access without using an operating system, compares the secure software with the information in the hardware secure module, and checks whether the secure software is falsified based on a result of the comparison see Fig. 5 item 222 & Fig. 4B item 118; and

a processor that executes the secure software when a result of the check by the falsification checking unit is that the secure software is not falsified see Fig. 5 item 124(where the decryption takes place after the player and the manifest have integrity and authenticity checked).

Regarding Claim 2-4, 6, 22-24, 26, Graunke discloses the second information being read in parts from each hard drive and non-volatile memory and further of comparing of the information see Col 6 Ln 1-16.

Regarding Claim 7-12, 27-32, Graunke disclose the storing and updating of softwares see Col 5 Ln 20-43.

Regarding Claim 13-18, 33-38, 41, Graunke explicitly disclose the keys and secret information being used to store and encrypt the data see Fig. 5 item 200,202

Regarding Claim 19, 39, Graunke discloses the MPEG configuration see Col 4 Ln 34-37.

Regarding Claim 20, Graunke an information reproducing method comprising:

reading secure software stored in a memory using direct access method without using an operating system, by a hardware secure module having a tamper resistant module structure which stores information related to the secure software see Fig. 4b item 118(where the manifest contains information about the software being software see Fig. 3);

checking falsification by comparing the secure software with the information, and determining whether the secure software is falsified based on a result of the comparison see Fig. 4B item 118(where the comparison of integrity of software information is carried out) and

executing the secure software when a result of determining is that the secure software is not falsified see Fig. 4B item 132 & Fig. 5 item 216.

Regarding Claim 21, Graunke discloses a hardware secure module mounted to an information reproducing apparatus and having a tamper resistant module structure, comprising:

a reading unit that reads a secure software from a memory mounted to the information reproducing apparatus by direct access without using an operating system see Col 3 Ln 53-61;

and a falsification checking unit that compares the secure software with information related to the secure software stored in the hardware secure module, and checks a falsification of the secure software based on a result of the comparison, wherein if the result of the comparison shows that the secure software is not falsified the secure software is executed by the information reproducing apparatus see Col 5 Ln 20-43.

Regarding Claim 40, Graunke discloses the reading secure software stored in a memory using a direct access method and without using an operating system, by the hardware secure module having a tamper resistant module structure storing information related to the secure software see Fig. 5 item 204 & Fig. 3 item 52;

checking falsification by comparing the secure software with the first information, and determining a falsification of the secure software based on a result of the comparison see Col 5 Ln 20-43; and

executing the secure software when the result of the comparison is that the secure software is not falsified see Fig. 4B item 132.

Application/Control Number: 10/629,853 Page 6

Art Unit: 2432

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Venkat Perungavoor whose telephone number is

(571)272-7213. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00. If attempts to

reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gilberto

Barron can be reached on 571-272-3799. The fax phone number for the organization

where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO

Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call

800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/V. P./

Examiner, Art Unit 2432

/Gilberto Barron Jr./

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2432